arXiv:1403.5908v1 [math.PR] 24 Mar 2014

MONOTONE AND BOOLEAN UNITARY BROWNIAN MOTIONS

TAREK HAMDI

Abstract. The additive monotone (resp. boolean) unitary Brownian motion is a non-commutative stochastic process with monotone (resp. boolean) independent and stationary increments which are distributed according to the arcsine law (resp. Bernoulli law) . We introduce the monotone and booleen unitary Brownian motions and we derive a closed formula for their associated moments. This provides a description of their spectral measures. We prove that, in the monotone case, the multiplicative analog of the arcsine distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on the unit circle, whereas in the boolean case the multiplicative analog of the Bernoulli distribution is discrete. Finally, we use quantum stochastic calculus to provide a realization of these processes as the stochastic exponential of additive correspondent Brownian motions.

Introduction

In the non-commutative probability theory, there exists several natural notions of independence. The most famous ones are the usual independence and the free independence. Other interesting examples are monotone and boolean independence. These allow to define new convolutions for probability measures. The monotone convolutions on the unit circle and the positive half-line were introduced by Bercovici in [Ber05], see also [Fra06]. But the additive monotone Brownian motion and the monotone Fock space where first studied by Muraki, see [Mur97]. The monotone stochastic calculus can also be realized on the symmetric Fock space, see [Fra03]. Bercovici studied also the boolean convolution for probability measures on the positive half-line, but it is not always defined. Whereas the boolean convolution for probability measures on the unit circle is well defined, it was introduced by Franz in [Fra07], see also [Ber06]. The boolean stochastic calculus has been studied by Ben Ghorbal and Schürmann, see [BGS04].

The aim of this paper is to point out several connections between classical, free Brownian motions and its counterparts in the monotone and boolean cases. We shall consider two kinds of unitary Brownian motions, the monotone and boolean one. Both are non-commutative unitary processes, that is families of non-commutative random variables which are unitary and are characterized as having

Key words and phrases. Convolution semi-groups, monotone and boolean independence, unitary Brownian motion, boson Fock space, boolean Fock space, quantum stochastic calculus.

independent increments, distributed according to a multiplicative convolution semi-group of measures depending on the notion of independence that we use (monotone or boolean). It has been shown that the additive monotone Brownian motion is distributed according to the arcsine law (cf. [Mur97])

$$\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2t-x^2}}\mathbf{1}_{(-\sqrt{2t},\sqrt{2t})}\mathrm{d}x, \quad t>0.$$

Whereas the additive Boolean Brownian motion is Bernoulli distributed (cf. [SW97])

$$\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-\sqrt{t}}+\delta_{\sqrt{t}}), \quad t>0.$$

So the distributions of the monotone and boolean unitary Brownian motions are a kind of multiplicative analog of these two distributions. We shall next consider the Fock space realization of both unitary Brownian motion by solving the exponential stochastic differential equation

$$dU_t = idX_t\, U_t - \frac{1}{2}U_t\, dt, \quad U_0 = I$$

where $(X_t)_t$ is the Fock space realization of the corresponding additive Brownian motion. Note that this equation is formally the same as the one used to construct the free unitary Brownian motion (cf. [Bia97]).

This paper is organized as follows. In part one, we first introduce the monotone unitary Brownian motion. We then derive a closed formula for its moments through Legendre polynomials and supply a full description of its distribution, say μ_t. In particular, μ_t is compactly-supported and absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on the unit circle. Moreover, it's support is inside an interval of the unit circle, centered at 1, and spreads as t increases. It only becomes the whole circle asymptotically as t goes to infinity. We close the first part by giving the boson Fock space realization of the monotone unitary Brownian motion. The second part is concerned with boolean unitary Brownian motion. We first introduce this process and we derive an explicit formula for its moments which involves Laguerre polynomials. It turns out that the distribution of the boolean unitary Brownian motion, say ν_t, is discrete. Its support is a countably set of points on the unit circle which accumulate at 1. Finally, we recall some basic facts from [BGS04] and we give a Fock space realization of the boolean unitary Brownian motion.

1. **Monotone case**

1.1. **Multiplicative monotone convolution.** Let μ_1, μ_2 be probability measures on the unit circle and set

$$\psi_{\mu_i} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{zx}{1-zx}\mathrm{d}\mu_i(x), \qquad K_{\mu_i}(z) = \frac{\psi_{\mu_i}(z)}{1+\psi_{\mu_i}(z)},$$

then the multiplicative monotone convolution of $\nu = \mu_1 \triangleright \mu_2$ is uniquely determined by

$$K_\nu(z) = K_{\mu_1}\big(K_{\mu_2}(z)\big).$$

The monotone convolution semigroups of probability measures on the unit circle are given by solutions of the differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}K_t}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) = -K_t(z)u\big(K_t(z)\big)$$

with initial condition $K_0(z) = z$, where u is a holomorphic function on the unit disk with non-negative real part. Therefore u has a Herglotz representation

$$u(z) = ib + \int \frac{x+z}{x-z}\mathrm{d}\rho(x)$$

with b a real number and ρ a finite measure supported on the unit circle.

1.2. **Monotone unitary Brownian motion.** The distribution μ_t of the unitary monotone Brownian motion correspond to the case $b = 0$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$, i.e. the transform $(K_{\mu_t})_{t\geq 0}$ is given by the solution of the differential equation

$$2\frac{\mathrm{d}K_{\mu_t}}{\mathrm{d}t}(z) = -K_{\mu_t}(z)\frac{1+K_{\mu_t}(z)}{1-K_{\mu_t}(z)}, \tag{1.1}$$

with initial condition $K_{\mu_0}(z) = z$. If we write $Z_t = K_{\mu_t}$, then the differential equation (1.1) becomes

$$2\dot{Z}_t = \frac{Z_t^2 + Z_t}{Z_t - 1}$$

or

$$\left(\frac{2}{Z_t+1} - \frac{1}{Z_t}\right) = \frac{1}{2},$$

which can be integrated to

$$\frac{t}{2} = \int_z^{Z_t}\left(\frac{2}{u+1} - \frac{1}{u}\right)\mathrm{d}u = 2\ln\frac{Z_t+1}{z+1} - \ln\frac{Z_t}{z}.$$

Exponentiating yields

$$\frac{(Z_t+1)^2}{Z_t} = e^{t/2}\frac{(z+1)^2}{z}.$$

We set $w = e^{t/2}\frac{(z+1)^2}{z}$ and solve the quadratic equation

$$Z_t^2 + (2-w)Z_t + 1 = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for Z_t. This gives

$$Z_t = \frac{1}{2}\left(w - 2 \pm \sqrt{w^2 - 4w}\right)$$

where the sign $\pm$ is such that Z_t is inside the unit disk and $\lim_{t\searrow 0} = z$. But since the only bounded solution of (1.2) is what corresponds to a minus sign, then we get

$$Z_t = \frac{1}{2}\left(w - 2 - \sqrt{w(w-4)}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Now the moment generating function

$$\psi_{\mu_t}(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k \int_{\mathbb{T}} x^k \mathrm{d}\mu_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{xz}{1-xz}\mathrm{d}\mu_t(x)$$

of the distribution of unitary monotone Brownian motion can be recovered as

$$\psi_{\mu_t} = \frac{Z_t}{1-Z_t}.$$

Note in passing that the family $(K_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined by $K_t(z) = Z_t|_{Z_0=z}$ is a family of self maps of the unit disk which fixes the origin, i.e., $K_t(0) = 0$. Besides it forms a continuous composition semi-group and it is a general feature that these composition semi-group have such a form.

Remark. *Note that the equality (1.3) can also be written as*

$$Z_t(z) = \varphi^{-1}\left(e^{t/2}\varphi(z)\right)$$

where φ is the conformal bijection from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{C}\setminus[0,4]$ given by $\varphi(z) = (z+1)^2/z$.

1.3. **Moments of μ_t.**

Proposition 1. *For every $t > 0$, one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} x^n \mathrm{d}\mu_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(P_n(2e^{-t/2}-1) + P_{n-1}(2e^{-t/2}-1)\right), \quad n \geq 1$$

where P_n is the n-th Legendre polynomial.

Proof Since we have

$$Z_t(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(w - 2 - \sqrt{w(w-4)}\right) \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

with $w = e^{t/2}\varphi(z)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mu_t}(z) = \frac{Z_t}{1-Z_t} &= -\frac{w - \sqrt{w(w-4)} - 2}{w - \sqrt{w(w-4)} - 4} \\ &= -1 + \frac{-2}{w - \sqrt{w(w-4)} - 4}.\end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\frac{1}{w - \sqrt{w(w-4)} - 4} = \frac{-1}{4}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{w}{w-4}}\right),$$

we get

$$\psi_{\mu_t}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z+1}{2\sqrt{1-2z(2e^{-t/2}-1)+z^2}}.$$

Now using the generating function for Legendre polynomial

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2xz+z^2}} = \sum_{n\geq 0} P_n(x) z^n,$$

we obtain the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mu_t}(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z+1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0} P_n(2e^{-t/2}-1)z^n \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{P_0(2e^{-t/2}-1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}(P_n(2e^{-t/2}-1) + P_{n-1}(2e^{-t/2}-1))z^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}(P_n(2e^{-t/2}-1) + P_{n-1}(2e^{-t/2}-1))z^n.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. *The moments of the monotone unitary BM are real. This is due to the fact that the distribution of the unitary monotone BM is symmetric, i.e., U_t and U_t^* have the same distribution.*

1.4. **Description of μ_t.**

Theorem 1. *For any $t > 0$, the measure μ_t is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Its support is equal to the interval*

$$I_t \triangleq \left\{ e^{i\theta} \,\middle|\, -\arccos(2e^{-t/2}-1) \leq \theta \leq \arccos(2e^{-t/2}-1) \right\}$$

where $\arccos \in [0, \pi]$. *The density is positive on the interior of I_t and is equal to*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\cos(\theta/2)}{\sqrt{\cos(\theta) - (2e^{-t/2}-1)}} 1_{\{\cos(\theta) > 2e^{-t/2}-1\}}, \quad \theta \in (-\pi, \pi).$$

Proof Let H_{μ_t} be the Herglotz transform of μ_t. We have

$$H_{\mu_t}(z) = 1 + 2\psi_{\mu_t}(z) = \frac{z+1}{\sqrt{1-2z(2e^{-t/2}-1)+z^2}}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Since $z \mapsto 1 - 2z(2e^{-t/2}-1) + z^2$ does not take negative value and its roots $a_t, \overline{a_t}$ lie on $\mathbb{T}$ with $a_t = e^{i\arccos(2e^{-t/2}-1)}$. Then H_{μ_t} is analytic in the open disc and it extends continuously to $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{a_t, \overline{a_t}\}$. Furthermore for $\theta_t = \arccos(2e^{-t/2}-1)$, we have

$$\lim_{r\to 1, z = re^{\pm i\theta_t}} (z - e^{\pm i\theta_t}) H_{\mu_t}(z) = 0.$$

In fact, for any $z = re^{\pm i\theta_t}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}(z - e^{\pm i\theta_t})H_{\mu_t}(z) &= (z - e^{\pm i\theta_t})\frac{z+1}{\sqrt{(z-a_1)(z-a_2)}} \\ &= (z - e^{\pm i\theta_t})\frac{z+1}{\sqrt{(z-e^{i\theta_t})(z-e^{-i\theta_t})}} \\ &= \frac{(r-1)e^{\pm i\theta_t}(e^{\pm i\theta_t}+1)}{\sqrt{r-1}\sqrt{re^{\pm 2i\theta_t}-1}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{r-1}e^{\pm i\theta_t}(e^{\pm i\theta_t}+1)}{\sqrt{re^{\pm 2i\theta_t}-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then μ_t is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on the unit circle, with density given by the Poisson kernel

$$P_{\mu_t}(e^{i\theta}) = \Re\left[H_{\mu_t}(e^{i\theta})\right], \quad \theta \in (-\pi, \pi).$$

Now we need to compute $\Re\left[H_{\mu_t}(w)\right]$ for $w \in \mathbb{T}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\mu_t}(w) &= \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{1-2w(2e^{-t/2}-1)+w^2}} \\ &= \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{w}\sqrt{w+\overline{w}-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)}}1_{\{(w+\overline{w})-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)>0\}} \\ &= \frac{(w+1)\left(\sqrt{(2+w+\overline{w})/4}-i\sqrt{(2-w-\overline{w})/4}\right)}{\sqrt{w+\overline{w}-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)}}1_{\{(w+\overline{w})-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)>0\}} \\ &= \frac{(2+w+\overline{w}+(w-\overline{w}))\left(\sqrt{(2+w+\overline{w})/4}-i\sqrt{(2-w-\overline{w})/4}\right)}{2\sqrt{w+\overline{w}-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)}}1_{\{(w+\overline{w})-2(2e^{-t/2}-1)>0\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$P_{\mu_t}(e^{i\theta}) = = \frac{\sqrt{2}\cos(\theta/2)}{\sqrt{\cos(\theta)-(2e^{-t/2}-1)}}1_{\{\cos(\theta)>2e^{-t/2}-1\}}.$$

□

Remark. *Note that for classical Brownian motion the support is immediately the whole circle and in the free case, it is an interval smaller then the circle for $t < 4$ and the whole circle for $t \geq 4$. Whereas, for the monotone case it remains smaller than the circle for all t, it only becomes the whole circle asymptotically as t goes to infinity.*

1.5. **Quantum stochastic calculus and monotone unitary Brownian motion.**

1.5.1. **Quantum stochastic calculus.** We shall recall some basic facts from quantum stochastic calculus in a boson Fock space as expounded in [Par99]. Let $H := \Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ be the boson Fock space with one degree of freedom and let A^*, A be respectively the creation and annihilation operators on H. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we have the following identification

$$\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cong \Gamma(L^2([0,t])) \otimes \Gamma(L^2([t,\infty))).$$

Let $\Omega_{t]}$ be the Fock vacuum vector in $H_{t]} := \Gamma(L^2([0,t]))$ and let $P_0(t)$ be the projection on the subspace $\Omega_{t]} \otimes H_{[t}$ where $H_{[t} := \Gamma(L^2([t,\infty)))$. According to [Par99, Theorem 2.1], the quantum stochastic integrals

$$L_t = \int_0^t P_0(s) dA_s, \quad L_t^* = \int_0^t P_0(s) dA_s^*$$

are defined on the whole space H as bounded operators, adjoint to each other and satisfy

$$L_t\, L_t^* = \int_0^t P_0(s) ds.$$

Now, let us denote $B_t = A_t + A_t^*$ and $X_t = L_t + L_t^*$. We recall that the family of operators $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ on $\Gamma(H)$ is the non-commutative arcsine Brownian motion for the vacuum expectation $\phi(.) = \langle e(0), .e(0)\rangle$ on $B(\Gamma(H))$, (See [Par99]).

1.5.2. **Boson Fock space realization of monotone unitary Brownian motion.** We shall now use quantum stochastic calculus on a boson Fock space in order to give a construction of monotone unitary Brownian motion as the "stochastic exponential" of the monotone additive one. For this we shall solve a stochastic differential equation. According to [HuPa84] there exists a unique family of unitary operators $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dU_t = (i\, dX_t - \frac{1}{2} P_0(t) dt) U_t, \quad U_0 = 1. \tag{1.4}$$

From this equation we deduce,

Lemma 1. *For all $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ one has*

$$dU_t^n = i \sum_{l=1}^{n} U_t^{n-l} P_0 U_t^l dB_t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} U_t^{n-l} P_0 U_t^l dt - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} U_t^{n-k} P_0 U_t^{k-l} P_0 U_t^l dt.$$

Proof We prove the result by induction on n. For $n = 1$ this reduce to the equation for U. Assume the result holds for n, then by quantum Ito's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
dU_t^{n+1} =& U_t\, dU_t^n + dU_t\, U_t^n + dU_t\, dU_t^n \\
=& i\sum_{l=1}^{n} U_t^{n-l+1} P_0 U_t^l dB_t - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{n} U_t^{n-l+1} P_0 U_t^l dt - \sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} U_t^{n-k+1} P_0 U_t^{k-l} P_0 U_t^l dt \\
&+ (i\, P_0 dB_t - \frac{1}{2} P_0 dt) U_t^{n+1} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} P_0 U_t^{n-k+1} P_0 U_t^l dt \\
=& i\sum_{l=1}^{n+1} U_t^{n+1-l} P_0 U_t^l dB_t - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{n+1} U_t^{n+1-l} P_0 U_t^l dt - \sum_{k=2}^{n+1}\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} U_t^{n+1-k} P_0 U_t^{k-l} P_0 U_t^l dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We shall now prove that

Theorem 2. *The process $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a monotone unitary Brownian motion.*

Proof Form (1.4), we have

$$U_t - U_s = i\int_s^t dX_r U_r - \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t P_0(r) U_r dr,$$

so that,

$$U_t U_s^\star = 1 + i\int_s^t P_0(r) U_r U_s^\star dB_r - \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t P_0(r) U_r U_s^\star dr. \tag{1.5}$$

But the kernels of the projections $P_0(s)$ increase with s, this says that $P_0(r) = P_0(r)P_0(s)$ for any $s \le r$. Consequently from (1.5), we get

$$U_t U_s^\star - 1 = P_0(s)(U_t U_s^\star - 1)$$

and so for all $0 \le s_1 < t_1 < ... < t_{n-1} < s_n < t_n < \infty$ and $k_1, ..., k_n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\left((U_{t_1}U_{s_1}^\star - 1)^{k_1} ... (U_{t_n}U_{s_n}^\star - 1)^{k_n}\right) &= \phi\left(P_0(s_1)(U_{t_1}U_{s_1}^\star - 1)^{k_1} ... P_0(s_n)(U_{t_n}U_{s_n}^\star - 1)^{k_n}\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left((U_{t_i}U_{s_i}^\star - 1)^{k_i}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Which proves that the increments of the process $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ are monotonically independent with respect to the state ϕ. Now the equality (1.5) implies that the process $t \mapsto U_t U_s^\star$ is obtained by solving the same equation as U but with respect to the monotone Brownian motion $(X_t - X_s)_{t\ge s}$. This says that the increments of $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ have a stationary distribution. So it is enough to prove that the distribution of U_t is μ_t for all $t \ge 0$. To this end, we take the state ϕ in both sides of the equation in Lemma 1. Then we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(U_t^n) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\phi(U_t^{n-l})\phi(U_t^l) - \sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\phi(U_t^{n-k})\phi(U_t^{k-l})\phi(U_t^l).$$

Now, introducing the generating function

$$\rho(t,z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\phi(U_t^n)z^n,\quad |z|<1$$

we get the differential equation

$$\partial_t\rho(t,z)=-\frac{1}{2}\rho(t,z)(1+\rho(t,z))-\rho(t,z)^2(1+\rho(t,z)),$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_t\rho(t,z)=-\frac{1}{2}\rho(t,z)(1+\rho(t,z))(1+2\rho(t,z)).$$

After integrating and taking into account $\rho(0,z)=z/(1-z)$, we get

$$\frac{\rho(t,z)(1+\rho(t,z))}{(1+2\rho(t,z))^2}=\frac{ze^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{(1+z)^2}=\frac{1}{\varphi(Z_t(z))}.$$

Hence $\rho(t,.)=\psi_{\mu_t}$ for any $t\geq 0$ and the distribution of U_t is μ_t. This ends the proof of Theorem 2. □

2. **Boolean case**

2.1. **Multiplicative boolean convolution.** Let μ_1,μ_2 be probability measures on the unit circle and set

$$\psi_{\mu_i}=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{zx}{1-zx}\mathrm{d}\mu_i(x),\qquad F_{\mu_i}(z)=\frac{1}{z}\frac{\psi_{\mu_i}(z)}{1+\psi_{\mu_i}(z)},$$

then the multiplicative boolean convolution $\nu=\mu_1\rtimes\mu_2$ is uniquely determined by

$$F_\nu(z)=F_{\mu_1}(z)F_{\mu_2}(z).$$

For infinitely divisible measures the transform is of the form

$$F(z)=\exp\big(u(z)\big),$$

where u is a holomorphic function on the unit disk with non-positive real part and therefore has a Herglotz representation of the form

$$u(z)=ib-\int\frac{x+z}{x-z}\mathrm{d}\rho(x)$$

with b a real number and ρ a finite measure supported on the unit circle, cf. [Fra07, Remark 3.7].

2.2. **Boolean unitary Brownian motion.** The distribution ν_t of the boolean Brownian motion on the unit circle corresponds again to the case $b = 0$, $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$, i.e. to the transforms

$$F_t(z) = \exp\left(\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)}\right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case, one gets

$$\psi_{\nu_t}(z) = \frac{z \exp\left(\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)}\right)}{1 - z\exp\left(\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)}\right)}$$

and in a small neighborhood of the origin

$$\psi_{\nu_t}(z) = \sum_{k\geq 1} z^k \exp\left(k\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)}\right) = \sum_{k\geq 1}(ze^{-\frac{t}{2}})^k \exp\left(kt\frac{z}{z-1}\right).$$

2.3. **Moments of ν_t.**

Proposition 2. *For any $t > 0$ and any $n \geq 1$, one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} x^n \mathrm{d}\nu_t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{(1)}_{n-k}(kt)e^{-kt/2} - 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} L^{(1)}_{n-k-1}(kt)e^{-kt/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} L^{(1)}_{n-k-2}(kt)e^{-kt/2}$$

where the sums in the RHS are taken to be empty when $k < 1$ and $L^{(1)}_n$ is the n-th Laguerre polynomial of index 1.

Proof We use the generating function for Laguerre polynomials

$$\exp\left(kt\frac{z}{z-1}\right) = (1-z)^{\alpha+1}\sum_{n\geq 0} L^{(\alpha)}_n(kt)z^n$$

where $\alpha > -1$. We can choose $\alpha = 1$ and get after inverting the order of summation

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\nu_t}(z) &= (1-z)^2 \sum_{k\geq 1} e^{-kt/2}\sum_{n\geq k} L^{(1)}_{n-k}(kt)z^n \\ &= (1-z)^2 \sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{(1)}_{n-k}(kt)e^{-kt/2}\right) z^n.\end{aligned}$$

Identifying the coefficients of z^n, we get the result. □

Remark. *The moments are again real, because the law of the unitary boolean BM is also symmetric.*

2.4. **Description of ν_t.** Observe that the Herglotz transform k_t of ν_t is given by

$$k_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi + z}{\xi - z} d\nu_t(\xi) = 1 + 2\psi_{\nu_t}(z) = \frac{1 + \theta_t(z)}{1 - \theta_t(z)}, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{D}$$

with

$$\theta_t(z) = z \exp\left(\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)}\right).$$

For $t > 0$, let Σ_t denote the subset of $\mathbb{T}$ consisting of the solutions to the equation $\theta_t(\zeta) = 1$. We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Σ_t is a discrete set of points which accumulate at 1.*

Proof Fix $t > 0$ and let $\zeta = x + iy \in \Sigma_t$. This says that

$$\exp\left(-\frac{ity}{2(1-x)}\right) = x - iy.$$

By a simple identification of real and imaginary parts we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ty}{2(1-x)} = \epsilon \arccos(x) + 2k\pi, \ \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sin\left(\frac{ty}{2(1-x)}\right) = y \end{cases}$$

which in turn leads to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}} - \arccos(x) = 2k\epsilon\pi, \ \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ y = \epsilon\sqrt{1-x^2} \end{cases}.$$

Consider now the function $g_t(x) = \frac{t}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}} - \arccos(x)$ with $x \in [-1, 1)$. Then we have

$$\partial_x g_t(x) = \frac{t + 2 - 2x}{2(1-x)\sqrt{1-x^2}} \geq 0$$

and so

x	-1		$x_0(t)$		1
$\partial_x g_t(x)$			$+$		
$g_t(x)$	$-\pi$	$\nearrow$	0	$\nearrow$	$+\infty$

Consequently for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equation $g_t(x) = 2k\epsilon\pi$ has a unique solution $x_k(t)$ if $\epsilon k \geq 0$ and no solution otherwise. Hence

$$\Sigma_t = \left\{x_n(t) + i\sqrt{1 - x_n(t)^2}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \left\{x_{-n}(t) - i\sqrt{1 - x_{-n}(t)^2}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

Since g_t is injective and

$$g_t(x_{-n}(t)) = 2(-n)(-1)\pi = 2n\pi = g_t(x_n(t)),$$

then $x_n(t) = x_{-n}(t)$ and

$$\Sigma_t = \left\{ \zeta_{\pm n}(t) = x_n(t) \pm i\sqrt{1 - x_n(t)^2} : \ g_t(x_n(t)) = 2n\pi, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It remains to show that $(\zeta_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ accumulate at 1. Equivalently, we shall show that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n(t) = 1$. To this end, we notice that the sequence $(x_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Moreover, for $n \leq m$, one has

$$g_t(x_n(t)) = 2\pi n \leq 2\pi m = g_t(x_m(t)).$$

Thus $(x_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing and so it converges. Call $x(t)$ its limit, then we have

$$-1 < x_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq 1.$$

But, the equality $g_t(x_n(t)) = 2n\pi$ entails $\lim_{n\to+\infty} g_t(x_n(t)) = +\infty$. Whence, by continuity of g_t, we deduce that $x(t) = 1$. □

Now, we proceed to the study of ν_t. Observe that for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\left| z \exp\left(\frac{t(z+1)}{2(z-1)} \right) \right| = |z| \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \Re\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1} \right) \right) = |z| \exp\left(-\frac{t(1-|z|^2)}{2|z-1|^2} \right) < 1,$$

so θ is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. From the previous identity one can easily check that $|\theta_t(\xi)| = 1$ if $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus θ_t is an inner function and hence ν_t is singular with respect to the Haar measure on the unit circle. Moreover, ν_t coincides with the Aleksandrov-Clark measure associated to θ_t at 1 (cf. [Ros]). Define the Poisson transform of ν_t by

$$P_{\nu_t}(z) := \Re[k_t(z)] = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-r^2}{|\xi - z|^2} d\nu_t(\xi), \quad t > 0.$$

Let $z = r\zeta$, where $r \in (0,1)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, then the above expression becomes

$$P_{\nu_t}(r\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-r^2}{|\xi - r\zeta|^2} d\nu_t(\xi) = \frac{1 - |\theta_t(r\zeta)|^2}{|1 - \theta_t(r\zeta)|^2}, \quad t > 0.$$

The rightmost term approaches zero as $r \to 1$ except for solutions to the equation $\theta_t(\zeta) = 1$. Note that, according to Lemma 2, $\{\zeta : \theta_t(\zeta) = 1\} = (\zeta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. This says that ν_t is placing no mass on $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{(\zeta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\}$ and so (cf. [Ros, Proposition 4.14])

$$\nu_t = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_n(t) \delta_{\zeta_n}, \quad t > 0$$

where $c_n(t)$ are positive constants given by

$$c_n(t) = \frac{1}{|\theta_t'(\zeta_n)|} = \frac{|\zeta_n - 1|^2}{|\overline{\zeta_n}(\zeta_n - 1)^2 - t|}.$$

Write $\zeta_n = e^{i\alpha_n}$ to get

$$c_n(t) = \frac{2(1 - \cos\alpha_n)}{t + 2(1 - \cos\alpha_n)}$$

and

$$\nu_t = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(1-\cos\alpha_n)}{t+2(1-\cos\alpha_n)}\delta_{e^{i\alpha_n}}, \quad t>0.$$

Remark. *Since* $(x_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is increasing, then one can see that*

$$\text{supp } \nu_t \subset \left\{e^{i\theta} \mid -\arccos(x_0(t)) \le \theta \le \arccos(x_0(t))\right\}$$

where $\arccos \in [0,\pi]$.

Next, we shall show that when t goes to $+\infty$, the above interval is equal to the unit circle.

Proposition 3. *One has*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} x_0(t) = -1.$$

Proof Since $\partial_x g_t$ does not vanishes for any fixed $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times [-1,1]$, the implicit function theorem implies that there exists a continuously differentiable function φ such that $x_0 = \varphi(t)$ and

$$\varphi'(s) = -\frac{\partial_t g_t(s,x)}{\partial_x g_t(s,x)} = \frac{x^2-1}{s+1-x} \le 0$$

for any s in an open neighborhood of t in $\mathbb{R}_+^*$. It follows that x_0 is decreasing with respect to t and thus the limit of x_0 as $t\to+\infty$ exists. Let $l = \lim_{t\to+\infty} x_0(t)$, then $l \in [-1,1)$. But since $g_t(x_0(t)) = 0$, then we get

$$\sqrt{\frac{1+x_0(t)}{1-x_0(t)}} = \frac{2\arccos(x_0(t))}{t}.$$

The LHS of the previous identity goes to zero as $t\to+\infty$. Thus the RHS must do the same. This gives us $\sqrt{(1+l)/(1-l)} = 0$ and hence $l = -1$. □

2.5. **Boolean stochastic calculus and boolean unitary Brownian motion.**

2.5.1. **Boolean stochastic calculus.** We shall recall the relevant facts from boolean stochastic calculus as expounded in [BGS04]. For a given Hilbert space H denote by $\Gamma(H) = \mathbb{C}\oplus H$ the boolean Fock space over H. The vector $\Omega = \binom{1}{0}$ is called the vacuum vector. The vacuum expectation $\Phi_\Omega : B(\Gamma(H)) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the state defined by

$$\Phi_\Omega(A) := \langle \Omega, A\Omega\rangle_{\Gamma(H)}.$$

Let $U \in H$, the creation and annihilation operators are bounded operators on $\Gamma(H)$ defined as

$$A^*(U)\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ V\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\ \alpha\, U\end{pmatrix}, \quad A(U)\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ V\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\langle U,V\rangle_H\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ and $V\in H$. We have $A(U)$ and $A^*(U)$ are adjoint to each other on $\Gamma(H)$ and

$$A(U)\,A^*(V) = \langle U,V\rangle_H\, P_\Omega,$$

where P_Ω is the projection on $\mathbb{C}\Omega$.

Now let h be a separable Hilbert space and let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$ defined by

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to h \text{ measurable } ; \int_0^\infty ||f(t)||_h^2 \, dt < \infty \right\}.$$

For a given unit vector $u \in h$, let $u^t := \chi_{[0,t[} \otimes u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$ defined by $u^t(s) = \chi_{[0,t[}(s)u \in h$ and call $A_t^*(u) := A^*(u^t), A_t(u) := A(u^t)$. A bounded operator F on $\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h))$ is given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \langle\gamma| \\ |\delta\rangle & r \end{pmatrix}$$

where

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
- $\langle\gamma| \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h); \mathbb{C})$ with $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$ given by $\langle\gamma|(f) := \langle\gamma, f\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)}$,
- $|\delta\rangle \in B(L^2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{R}_+; h))$ with $\delta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$ given by $\langle\delta|(\lambda) := \lambda\delta$,
- $r \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h))$.

Definition 1. (1) *An element $F = (F_t)_{t\geq 0} \in \Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h))$ is an adapted process if for any $t > 0$*

$$F_t \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ f \end{pmatrix} = F_t \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ f\chi_{[0,t[} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \textit{for all } \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ f \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(H).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}(h)$ the subspace of $\Gamma(H)$ of all adapted processes.

(2) *An element $F = (F_t)_{t\geq 0} \in \mathcal{L}(h)$ is said to be locally square integrable process if the map $t \mapsto F_t$ from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $B(\Gamma(H))$ is measurable and satisfies*
- $t \mapsto \alpha_t \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{C})$,
- $t \mapsto \gamma_t \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h))$,
- $t \mapsto \delta_t \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h))$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}^2(h)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(h)$ of locally square integrable processes.

According to [BGS04], for any locally square integrable process F, one can define stochastic integrals $\int_0^t F_s \, dA_s(u)$ and $\int_0^t dA_s^*(u) \, F_s$ by using Itô-Riemann sums. Furthermore, the processes $t \mapsto \int_0^t F_s \, dA_s(u)$ and $t \mapsto \int_0^t dA_s(u)^* \, F_s$ are adapted and continuous in norm.

2.5.2. **Fock space realization of boolean unitary Brownian motion.** We shall now provide a realization of the boolean unitary Brownian motion on the Boolean Fock space. According to [BGS04] there exists a unique family of unitary operators $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$, solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dU_t = (dA_t(u) - dA_t^*(u) - \frac{1}{2} P_\Omega dt) U_t, \quad U_0 = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

given by

$$U_t = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{-t}{2}) & L_{t,-u,\delta} \\ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} & L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

- $\gamma_1 : s \mapsto \exp(-\frac{s}{2})$,
- $\delta_t(.) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(t-.))$,
- $\zeta_t(.) = -\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(t-.))\chi_{[0,t]}(.)$

and

- $L_{t,u,\gamma_1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto \int_0^t < u, f(s) > \gamma_1(s)ds$,
- $L^*_{t,u,\delta} : \mathbb{C} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h), \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda\delta_t(.)\chi_{[0,t[}(.)u$,
- $L^*_{t,u,\zeta} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h), \quad L^*_{t,u,\zeta}(f)(s) = \langle \zeta_s, f\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)}\chi_{[0,t[}(s)\, u$.

For $i \geq 1$, we put

$$\zeta^i_s(.) = (-1)^i \frac{(s-.)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(s-.)\right)\chi_{[0,s]}(.)$$

and

$$\delta^i_s(.) = (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(s-.)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(s-.)\right).$$

We need the following results.

Lemma 3. *For any $i \geq 1$,*

$$(L^*_{t,u,\zeta})^i = L^*_{t,u,\zeta^i},$$

$$L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{t,u,\zeta^i} = L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}}$$

and

$$L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}} \circ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} = \frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!} e^{-t/2}.$$

Proof We prove the first equality by induction on i. The case $i = 1$ is obvious. Assume the result hold for i, then for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L^*_{t,u,\zeta})^{i+1}(f) = L^*_{t,u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{t,u,\zeta^i}(f) \\
&= \int_0^{\cdot} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(.-s)\right) \int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle (-1)^i \frac{(s-r)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(s-r)\right) dr\chi_{[0,t]}(s)ds\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\, u \\
&= \int_0^{\cdot} \langle u, f(r)\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(.-r)\right) \int_r^{\cdot} (-1)^i \frac{(s-r)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \chi_{[0,t]}(s)dsdr\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\, u \\
&= \int_0^{\cdot} \langle u, f(r)\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(.-r)\right) (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(.-r)^i}{i!} dr\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\, u \\
&= L^*_{t,u,\zeta^{i+1}}(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Now for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{t,u,\zeta^i}(f) \\
&= -\int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(t-s)\right) \int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle (-1)^i \frac{(s-r)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(s-r)\right) dr \chi_{[0,t]}(s) ds \\
&= -\int_0^t \langle u, f(r)\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(t-r)\right) \int_r^t (-1)^i \frac{(s-r)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \chi_{[0,t]}(s) ds dr \\
&= -\int_0^t \langle u, f(r)\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(t-r)\right) (-1)^i \frac{(t-r)^i}{i!} dr \\
&= L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}}(f)
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the second assertion of the lemma. For the last assertion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}} \circ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} &= -\int_0^t \delta_t^{i+1}(s)\gamma_1(s) ds \\
&= \frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!} e^{-t/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we come to the basic theorem.

Theorem 3. *The process $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a boolean unitary Brownian motion.*

Proof First, we have to show that the process $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ has boolean independent increments. According to [BGS04], for each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the adjoint of U_s is given by

$$U_s^\star = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-\frac{s}{2}) & L_{s,u,\gamma_1} \\ L^*_{s,-u,\delta} & L_{s,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will write id instead of $id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)}$ for sake of simplicity, so for $s \le t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_t U_s^\star - 1 = \\
&\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{t+s}{2}} - 1 + L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{s,-u,\delta} & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} L_{s,u,\gamma_1} + L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ (L_{s,u,\zeta} + id) \\ e^{-\frac{s}{2}} L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} + (L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id) \circ L^*_{s,-u,\delta} & L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} \circ L_{s,u,\gamma_1} + (L_{t,u,\zeta} + id)^* \circ (L_{s,u,\zeta} + id) - id \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; h)$, we have

$$L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{s,-u,\delta} = \int_0^s e^{-\frac{t-r}{2}} e^{-\frac{s-r}{2}} dr = e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t+s}{2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{t,-u,\delta}\circ L_{s,u,\zeta}(f) &= \int_0^t e^{-\frac{t-r}{2}}\int_0^s \langle u, f(x)\rangle e^{-\frac{x-r}{2}}\chi_{[0,x]}(r)dxdr \\ &= \int_0^s \langle u, f(x)\rangle e^{-\frac{t+x}{2}}\int_0^t e^{r}\chi_{[0,x]}(r)drdx \\ &= \int_0^s \langle u, f(x)\rangle e^{-\frac{t+x}{2}}(e^x-1)dx \\ &= e^{-\frac{t}{2}}L_{s,u,\alpha}(f) \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\alpha(.) = 2\sinh(./2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^*_{t,u,\zeta}\circ L^*_{s,-u,\delta} &= -\int_0^{\cdot} e^{-\frac{.-r}{2}}\langle u, -e^{-\frac{s-r}{2}}\chi_{[0,s]}(r)u\rangle dr\chi_{[0,t]}(.)u \\ &= e^{-\frac{.+s}{2}}\int_0^{\cdot} e^{r}\chi_{[0,s]}(r)dr\chi_{[0,t]}(.)u \\ &= e^{-\frac{.+s}{2}}(e^{\min(.,s)}-1)\chi_{[0,t]}(.)u \\ &= e^{-\frac{s}{2}}L^*_{t,u,\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\beta(.) = e^{-\frac{.}{2}}(e^{\min(.,s)}-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^*_{t,u,\zeta}\circ L_{s,u,\zeta}(f) &= L^*_{t,u,\zeta}\left(\int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle\zeta_r(.)dr\, u\right) \\ &= \int_0^{\cdot}\int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle\zeta_r(x)\,\chi_{[0,r]}(x)dr\zeta_.(x)\,\chi_{[0,.]}(x)dx\,\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\,u \\ &= \int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle\gamma_1(r+.)\int_0^{\min(r,.)} e^x dxdr\,\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\,u \\ &= \int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle\gamma_1(r+.)e^{\min(r,.)}dr\,\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\,u - \int_0^s \langle u, f(r)\rangle\gamma_1(r+.)dr\,\chi_{[0,t]}(.)\,u \\ &= L_{s,u,\eta^t}(f) - L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1}\circ L_{s,u,\gamma_1}(f) \end{aligned}$$

where we put ${\eta^t}_r(.) = \gamma_1(r+.)e^{\min(r,.)}\chi_{[0,t]}(.)$. Consequently,

$$U_tU_s^\star - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}}-1 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}}L_{s,u,\gamma_1+\alpha} + L_{t,-u,\delta} \\ e^{-\frac{s}{2}}L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1+\beta} + L^*_{s,-u,\delta} & L_{s,u,\zeta} + L_{s,u,\eta^t} + L^*_{t,u,\zeta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we need the following result.

Lemma 4. *For all* $0 \le s_{m-1} < t_{m-1} < s_m < t_m$,

$$\left(e^{-\frac{t_{m-1}}{2}}L_{s_{m-1},u,\gamma_1+\alpha} + L_{t_{m-1},-u,\delta}\right)\circ\left(e^{-\frac{s_m}{2}}L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} + L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\left(L_{s_{m-1},u,\zeta} + L_{s_{m-1},u,\eta^{t_{m-1}}} + L^*_{t_{m-1},u,\zeta}\right)\circ\left(e^{-\frac{s_m}{2}}L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} + L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta}\right) = 0.$$

Proof We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{s_{m-1},u,\gamma_1+\alpha} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} =& \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-r} dr + \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left(e^{\frac{r}{2}} - e^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right) dr \\ &+ \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-r} \left(e^{\frac{r}{2}} - e^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right) dr + \int_0^{s_{m-1}} \left(e^{\frac{r}{2}} - e^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)^2 dr \\ =& e^{s_{m-1}} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{s_{m-1},u,\gamma_1+\alpha} \circ L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta} =& -\int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-r} e^{-\frac{s_m - r}{2}} dr - \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-\frac{s_m - r}{2}} \left(e^{\frac{r}{2}} - e^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right) dr \\ =& -e^{-\frac{s_m}{2}} (e^{s_{m-1}} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{t_{m-1},-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} =& -\int_0^{t_{m-1}} e^{-r} e^{-\frac{t_{m-1} - r}{2}} dr - \int_0^{t_{m-1}} e^{-\frac{t_{m-1} - r}{2}} \left(e^{\frac{r}{2}} - e^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right) dr \\ =& -e^{-\frac{t_{m-1}}{2}} (e^{t_{m-1}} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{t_{m-1},-u,\delta} \circ L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta} = \int_0^{t_{m-1}} e^{-\frac{t_{m-1} - r}{2}} e^{-\frac{s_m - r}{2}} dr = e^{-\frac{t_{m-1} + s_m}{2}} (e^{t_{m-1}} - 1)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(e^{-\frac{t_{m-1}}{2}} L_{s_{m-1},u,\gamma_1+\alpha} + L_{t_{m-1},-u,\delta}\right) \circ \left(e^{-\frac{s_m}{2}} L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} + L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta}\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{t_{m-1}+s_m}{2}} \left(e^{s_{m-1}} - 1 - (e^{s_{m-1}} - 1) - (e^{t_{m-1}} - 1) + (e^{t_{m-1}} - 1)\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the proof of the first assertion. For the second assertion we have

$$L_{s_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} = \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{r/2} e^{-(r-.)/2} \chi_{[0,r]}(.) dr\, u,$$

$$L_{s_{m-1},u,\eta^{t_{m-1}}} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} = \int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{r/2} \gamma_1(. + r) e^{\min(r,.)} dr\, \chi_{[0,t_{m-1}]}(.)\, u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L^*_{t_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} =& \int_0^{\cdot} e^{r/2}\, \chi_{[0,t_m]}(r) e^{-(.-r)/2}\, \chi_{[0,.]}(r) dr\, \chi_{[0,t_{m-1}]}(.)\, u \\ =& \, 2 \sinh(./2)\, \chi_{[0,t_{m-1}]}(.)\, u \end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{s_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta} =& -\int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-(s_m - r)/2} e^{-(r-.)/2} \chi_{[0,r]}(.) dr\, u \\ =& -e^{-s_m/2}\, L_{s_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{s_{m-1},u,\eta^{t_{m-1}}} \circ L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta} = -\int_0^{s_{m-1}} e^{-(s_m-r)/2}\gamma_1(.+r)e^{\min(r,.)}dr\,\chi_{[0,t_{m-1}]}(.)\,u$$
$$= -e^{-s_m/2}\,L_{s_{m-1},u,\eta^{t_{m-1}}} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta}$$

and

$$L^*_{t_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta} = -\int_0^{\cdot} e^{-(s_m-r)/2}e^{-(.-r)/2}\,\chi_{[0,.]}(r)dr\,\chi_{[0,t_{m-1}]}(.)\,u$$
$$= -e^{-s_m/2}\,L^*_{t_{m-1},u,\zeta} \circ L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta}.$$

□

It follows that for all $0 \le s_{m-1} < t_{m-1} < s_m < t_m$,

$$\left[(U_{t_{m-1}}U^\star_{s_{m-1}} - 1)(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)\right](\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{m-1} & \langle\xi_{m-1}| \\ |\theta_{m-1}\rangle & r_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m \\ \theta_m \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{m-1}\alpha_m \\ \alpha_m\theta_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we used the equalities

$$\langle\xi_{m-1}|\theta_m\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} = 0 = r_{m-1}(\theta_m)$$

from Lemma 4. Then by the same arguments we obtain

$$\left[(U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1)\dots(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)\right](\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1\dots\alpha_m \\ \alpha_m\dots\alpha_2\,\theta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we get

$$\Phi_\Omega\left((U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1)\dots(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)\right) = \langle\Omega, \left[(U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1)\dots(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)\right](\Omega)\rangle$$
$$= \alpha_1\dots\alpha_m$$
$$= \Phi_\Omega\left(U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1\right)\dots\Phi_\Omega\left(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1\right).$$

More generally, let

$$(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)^k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{m,k} & \langle\xi_{m,k}| \\ |\theta_{m,k}\rangle & r_{m,k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we put $\alpha_{m,1} = \alpha_m,\ \xi_{m,1} = \xi_m,\ \theta_{m,1} = \theta_m$ and $r_{m,1} = r_m$. Then we have

Lemma 5. *For all $0 \le s_{m-1} < t_{m-1} < s_m < t_m$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\langle\xi_{m-1,k}|\theta_{m,l}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} = 0 = r_{m-1,k}(\theta_{m,l}).$$

As a result,

$$\Phi_\Omega\left((U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1)^{k_1}\dots(U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)^{k_m}\right) = \Phi_\Omega\left((U_{t_1}U^\star_{s_1} - 1)^{k_1}\right)\dots\Phi_\Omega\left((U_{t_m}U^\star_{s_m} - 1)^{k_m}\right)$$

for all $0 \le s_1 < t_1 < ... < t_{n-1} < s_n < t_n < \infty$ and $k_1, ..., k_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Which says that the increments of $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ are boolean independent.

Proof of lemma 5 The cases $k = 0$ or $l = 0$ are obvious. Otherwise, we prove the result by induction at first on k then on l. Let $l = 1$, then for $k = 1$ this is an immediate consequence of lemma 4. Assume the result holds for k, then writing

$$(U_{t_m} U^{\star}_{s_m} - 1)^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{m,k} & \langle \xi_{m,k}| \\ |\theta_{m,k}\rangle & r_{m,k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m & \langle \xi_m| \\ |\theta_m\rangle & r_m \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain by a simple identification

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{m,k+1} = \alpha_{m,k}\alpha_m + \langle \xi_{m-1,k}, \theta_m\rangle \\ \langle \xi_{m,k+1}| = \alpha_{m,k}\langle \xi_m| + \langle \xi_{m,k}| \circ r_m \\ |\theta_{m,k+1}\rangle = \alpha_m |\theta_{m,k}\rangle + r_{m,k}(\theta_m) \\ r_{m,k+1} = |\theta_{m,k}\rangle\langle \xi_m| + r_{m,k} \circ r_m \end{cases} . \tag{2.2}$$

Using Lemma 4 we get

$$\langle \xi_{m-1,k+1}, \theta_m\rangle = \alpha_{m-1,k} \langle \xi_{m-1}|, \theta_m\rangle + \langle \xi_{m-1,k}| \circ r_{m-1}(\theta_m) = 0$$

and

$$r_{m-1,k+1}(\theta_m) = |\theta_{m-1,k}\rangle\langle \xi_{m-1}|(\theta_m) + r_{m-1,k} \circ r_{m-1}(\theta_m).$$

Now take $k \geq 1$ and assume the result holds for any $i \leq l$, i.e. assume that

$$\langle \xi_{m-1,k}|\theta_{m,i}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} = 0 = r_{m-1,k}(\theta_{m,i}), \quad i \leq l. \tag{2.3}$$

By the forth equation of (2.2) we get

$$r_{m,l} = r^l_m + \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} |\theta_{m,l-i}\rangle\langle \xi_m| \circ r^i_m. \tag{2.4}$$

Then, from (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{m-1,k}, \theta_{m,l+1}\rangle &= \alpha_m \langle \xi_{m-1,k}, \theta_{m,l}\rangle + \langle \xi_{m-1,k}| \circ r_{m,l}(\theta_m) \\ &= \langle \xi_{m-1,k}| \circ r_{m,l}(\theta_m) \\ &= \langle \xi_{m-1,k}| \circ r^l_m(\theta_m) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_m(\theta_m) =& \left(L_{s_m,u,\zeta} + L_{s_m,u,\eta^{t_m}} + L^*_{t_m,u,\zeta}\right) \circ \left(e^{-\frac{s_m}{2}} L^*_{t_m,u,\gamma_1+\beta} + L^*_{s_m,-u,\delta}\right) \\
=& \left(L_{s_m,u,\zeta} + L_{s_m,u,\eta^{t_m}} + L^*_{t_m,u,\zeta}\right) \circ \left(e^{\frac{s_m}{2}} \gamma_1(.)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u\right) \\
=& e^{\frac{s_m}{2}} \left[\int_0^{s_m} \gamma_1(x)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(x)\zeta_x(.)dx\, u + \int_0^{s_m} \gamma_1(x)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(x)\eta^{t_m}_x(.)dx\, u \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^{\cdot} \gamma_1(x)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(x)\zeta_\cdot(x)dx\chi_{[0,t_m]}(.)\, u\right] \\
=& e^{\frac{s_m}{2}} \int_0^{\cdot} \gamma_1(x)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(x)\zeta_\cdot(x)dx\chi_{[0,t_m]}(.)\, u \\
=& -(.-s_m)e^{-\frac{1}{2}(.-s_m)}\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u \\
=& \delta^2_\cdot(s_m)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$r^l_m(\theta_m) = \delta^{l+1}_\cdot(s_m)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u, \quad \forall\, l \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\langle \xi_{m-1,k}, \theta_{m,l+1}\rangle = \langle \xi_{m-1,k}, \delta^{l+1}_\cdot(s_m)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u\rangle = 0.$$

where we used that $\xi_{m-1,k}$ is supported in $[0, t_{m-1}]$. For the second equality we have from (2.2)

$$r_{m-1,k}(\theta_{m,l+1}) = r_{m-1,k} \circ (\alpha_m |\theta_{m,l}\rangle + r_{m,l}(\theta_m))$$

where now we have by (2.3) together with (2.4),

$$r_{m-1,k} \circ |\theta_{m,l}\rangle = r_{m-1,k}(\theta_{m,l}) = 0$$

and

$$r_{m-1,k} \circ r_{m,l} = r_{m-1,k} \circ r^l_m + \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} r_{m-1,k} \circ |\theta_{m,l-i}\rangle\langle \xi_m| \circ r^i_m = r_{m-1,k} \circ r^l_m.$$

Finally, we have

$$r_{m-1,k}(\theta_{m,l+1}) = r_{m-1,k}\left(r^l_m(\theta_m)\right) = r_{m-1,k}\left(\delta^{l+1}_\cdot(s_m)\chi_{[s_m,t_m]}(.)\, u\right) = 0,$$

By similar arguments. □

It remains to show that these increments have a stationary distribution. To this end, we let $dX_t = -i(dA_t(u) - dA^*_t(u))$ which is an additive boolean Brownian motion. Therefore from (2.1) we obtain

$$U_t - U_s = i\int_s^t dX_r U_r - \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t P_\Omega U_r dr,$$

so that,

$$U_tU_s^\star = 1 + i\int_s^t dX_rU_rU_s^\star - \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t P_\Omega U_rU_s^\star dr.$$

Thus the process $t \mapsto U_tU_s^\star$ is obtained by solving the same equation as U but with respect to the boolean Brownian motion $(X_t - X_s)_{t\geq s}$. This implies that the increments of U have a stationary distribution. So it is enough to prove that the distribution of U_t is ν_t for all $t \geq 0$. For $n \geq 1$, we put

$$P_\Omega U_t^n = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^n) & K_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Omega U_t^{n+1} &= P_\Omega U_t^n \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\frac{-t}{2}) & L_{t,-u,\delta} \\ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} & L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t/2}\Phi_\Omega(U_t^n) + K_n \circ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} & \Phi_\Omega(U_t^n)L_{t,-u,\delta} + K_n \circ (L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple identification we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n+1}) = e^{-t/2}\Phi_\Omega(U_t^n) + K_n \circ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} \\ K_{n+1} = K_n \circ (L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)}) + \Phi_\Omega(U_t^n)L_{t,-u,\delta} \end{cases}. \tag{2.5}$$

The second equation of (2.5) gives by induction

$$K_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n+1-k})L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ (L^*_{t,u,\zeta} + id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;h)})^{k-1}.$$

Which in turn leads to

$$K_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n+1-k}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} L_{t,-u,\delta} \circ (L^*_{t,u,\zeta})^i.$$

Then, by Lemma 3 we obtain

$$K_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n+1-k}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}}.$$

Now, by combining the last assertion of Lemma 3 and (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n+1}) &= e^{-t/2}\Phi_\Omega(U_t^n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n-k}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} L_{t,-u,\delta^{i+1}} \circ L^*_{t,u,\gamma_1} \\ &= e^{-t/2}\left[\Phi_\Omega(U_t^n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi_\Omega(U_t^{n-1-k}) \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce now the generating function

$$\eta(t,z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Phi_{\Omega}(U_t^n)z^n,\quad |z|<1.$$

We obtain

$$\eta(t,z)-ze^{-t/2}=e^{-t/2}\left[z\eta(t,z)+z^2(1+\eta(t,z))\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\right)z^n\right]$$

which simplifies to

$$\eta(t,z)=e^{-t/2}z(1+\eta(t,z))\left[1+\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\right)z^{n+1}\right].$$

Now using the expansion

$$e^{\left(\frac{tz}{z-1}\right)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i}\frac{(-t)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}\right)z^n,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(t,z)&=e^{-t/2}z(1+\eta(t,z))e^{\left(\frac{tz}{z-1}\right)}\\&=z(1+\eta(t,z))e^{\left(\frac{t(1+z)}{2(z-1)}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have for any $t>0$, $\eta(t,.)=\psi_{\nu_t}$ and the distribution of U_t is ν_t. This ends the proof of Theorem 3. □

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank U. Franz, who has through his discussions greatly contributed to the present paper. I also would like to thank T. Hasebe for his remarks and advice.

References

[BGS04] A. Ben Ghorbal, M. Schürmann. Quantum stochastic calculus on Boolean Fock space. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics* 07:04, 631–650, 2004.

[Ber05] H. Bercovici. Multiplicative monotonic convolution. *Illinois J. Math.*, 49(3):929–951 (electronic), 2005.

[Ber06] H. Bercovici. On Boolean convolutions. In *Operator theory 20*, volume 6 of *Theta Ser. Adv. Math.*, pages 7–13. Theta, Bucharest, 2006.

[Bia97] P. Biane. Free Brownian motion, free stochastic calculus and random matrices. *Fields. Inst. Commun.*, **12**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1–19, 1997.

[Fra03] U. Franz. Unification of boolean, monotone, anti-monotone, and tensor independence and Lévy process. *Math. Z.*, 243(4):779–816, 2003.

[Fra06] U. Franz. Multiplicative monotone convolutions. *Banach Center Publications*, 73:153–166, 2006. math.PR/0503602.

[Fra07] U. Franz. *Boolean convolution of probability measures on the unit circle.* Analyse et probabilités, 8394, Sémin. Congr., 16, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2008.

[HuPa84] R. L. Hudson, K. R. Parthasarathy. *Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolution.* Commun. Math. Phys. 93, 301-323, 1984.

[Mur97] N. Muraki. Noncommutative Brownian motion in monotone Fock space. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Volume 183, Number 3, 557–570, 1997.

[Mur03] N. Muraki. The Five Independences as Natural Products. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, Vol. 06, No. 03 : pp. 337-371, 2003.

[Par99] K.R. Parthasarathy. A boson Fock space realisation of arcsine Brownian motion. *The Indian Journal of Statistics*, Vol. 61, Series A, Pt. 3, pp. 305-311, 1999.

[Ros] W. T. Ross. Lens Lectures on Aleksandrov-Clark measures. *http://facultystaff.richmond.edu/∼wross/PDF/Clark.pdf*.

[SW97] R. Speicher and R. Woroudi. Boolean convolution. In D. Voiculescu, editor, *Free probability theory. Papers from a workshop on random matrices and operator algebra free products, Toronto, Canada, March 1995*, volume 12 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 267–279. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.